ARMED SERVICES BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS

Appeal of)	ASBCA No. 60783	
Under Contract No. H92237-16-C-0025)		
APPEARANCE FOR THE APPELLANT:	President	
APPEARANCES FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	Jeffrey P. Hildebrant, Esq. Air Force Deputy Chief Trial Attorney Christopher S. Cole, Esq. Trial Attorney	
OPINION BY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MELNICK ON THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS		
On May 12, 2016, SOJTF-A (BAF) Contracting Office, Bagram Airfield, Afghanistan, awarded (a) the firm-fixed-price contract identified above to provide enhanced force protection and facility upgrades at Hamid Karzai International Airport (R4, tab 1). The contract's total price was 14,963,033.10 AFN (id. at 3). Section G of the contract provided that the official exchange rate would be "AFN 68.45 = 1 USD" (id. at 4). The contract also included Clause 5152.232-5900, which provided for payment in local currency (id. at 5). The government terminated the contract for default. Subsequently, submitted		
a certified claim to the contracting officer on July 8 materials and storage costs. (R4, tab 9 at 2; gov't r contracting officer's final decision dated September	3, 2016, seeking \$119,432 for trucks, not. ¶¶ 7, 11) That claim was denied in a	
On March 4, 2017, the parties executed Moore The modification converted the termination for deffor \$93,700/6,413,765 AFN (at the 68.45 AFN = 1 Settlement." It also stated that "[t]he Contractor he within 30 days." (Gov't mot., attach. 1) After some state that on November 21, 2017, it paid the amount agreed upon (gov't mot., attach. 2).* In	ault to one for convenience and provided USD exchange rate) as a "Claim ereby agrees to dismiss [this appeal] are delay, government payment records 517,828.29 AFN, which is more than	

^{*} The record does not explain why the payment was for more than what was specified in Modification No. P00002.

payment confirmation, responded on November 28 that changes in the exchange rate during the delay entitle it to an additional \$4,300 (gov't mot., attach. 3).

DECISION

The government now moves to dismiss the appeal on the ground that it has been settled and agreed to its dismissal. does not dispute those points. Nor does it deny that it received 6,517,828.29 AFN in payment. merely repeats its claim to an additional \$4,300 without providing a reason. Assuming continues to believe that it is entitled to more compensation due to an alleged change in the exchange rate, nothing in Modification No. P00002 supports that conclusion. Payment of the agreed upon amount was to be made at a fixed exchange rate in Afghan currency. There is nothing providing for additional compensation in dollars in the event the market exchange rate changed after the passage of time before payment.

The government seeks dismissal of the appeal based upon its release by "A release is a contract whereby a party abandons a claim or relinquishes a right that could be asserted against another." *Holland v. United States*, 621 F.3d 1366, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2010). agreement in Modification No. P00002 to dismiss this appeal in return for the government's conversion of the termination to one for convenience, and payment of the agreed upon sum, constitutes a release. Given release of its claim, there is nothing more to decide in the appeal and it is therefore dismissed with prejudice.

Dated: 8 February 2018

MARK A. MELNICK Administrative Judge Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals

I concur

RICHARD SHACKLEFORD

Administrative Judge Acting Chairman

Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals

I concur

Administrative Judge Vice Chairman Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals

OWEN C. WILSON

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Opinion and Decision of the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals in ASBCA No. 60783, Appeal of rendered in conformance with the Board's Charter.	
Dated:	
	JEFFREY D. GARDIN
	Recorder, Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals